SCHOOL OF COMPUTER SCIENCE & INFORMATICS
COURSEWORK ASSESSMENT PROFORMA

MODULE: CM3301 Software Engineering Project (Team Presentation)

DATE SET: Sunday 15th November 2015

SUBMISSION DATE: 23:59 22nd April 2016

SUBMISSION ARRANGEMENTS
The presentation slides need to be submitted a single PDF document to Learning Central prior to you giving the presentation. You will be required to attend the presentation. You must arrange a time for the presentation with your supervisor and moderator for week 11 in spring term.

TITLE: Team Presentation

This coursework is worth 10% of the total marks available for this module. The penalty for late submission or not contributing to the presentation is an award of zero marks.

Description	Type	Name
Coversheet - Compulsory	One PDF (.pdf) file	[student number].pdf
Presentation – Compulsory - Only submitted by nominated team member	One PDF (.pdf) file	[ProjectName].pdf

LEARNING OUTCOMES ADDRESSED:

• Participate in a substantial Software Engineering team project

• Understand the elements of a successful Software Engineering project.

• Exhibit a sound knowledge in the subject area related to the project;

SKILLS (practised and developed):

• Plan, organise and implement tasks within time constraints;

• Work effectively as a member of the team

• Report, present and document the findings and deliverables resulting from the project;

• Use appropriate tools, techniques and validation methods in developing solutions;

Team Presentation

A team presentation on the project, including a demonstration of system must be submitted in week 8 of the second semester. The presentation will last up to an hour including time for audience questions and discussions. The presentation is worth 10% of the module marks.

Please contact your supervisor and moderator to arrange a time for the presentation early on.

The presentation must cover the solution to the problem your team is addressing, focusing on the core challenges of the overall problem. It should address the individual core contributions of the team members as well as how these are integrated to form a complete solution of the problem. You must be able to demonstrate the system running, so make sure you test this with the whole team before the demonstration. Do not underestimate the time it takes to integrate various solutions into one working system.

Your presentation and demonstration will be evaluated by the supervisor and moderator according to these criteria:

- **Coherent presentation** of the solution to the problem, focusing on major benefits and challenges of the project.

- **Discussion** of how the components developed by each team member have been integrated into a complete solution and clear indication who has done what.

- **Critical evaluation** of the extent that the solution has met, or exceeded, the requirements.

- **Professional presentation** and **demonstration** with clear structure and timing.

- Handling of **questions** and **discussions**.

Supervisor and moderator will give you formative feedback after your presentation, which should be part of the 1h slot, so please allow at least 15 minutes for questions and discussions when planning your presentation. You will also be provided with formal written summative feedback after the Easter break.

Please Note: The team presentation is not assessing the significance and quality of the solution as each student's contribution will be assessed for these as part of the criteria for the Individual Report. However, this will be the main opportunity for each student to demonstrate their part of the solution so it is important that all students are able to show the key functionality that they have implemented.

Marking Criteria

Coherent presentation of the solution

- **Excellent**: Coherent presentation of the solution to the problem was provided that clearly identified the major benefits and challenges of the project
- **Good**: A good presentation of the solution was provided that outlined the major benefits and challenges of the project, some benefits may have been omitted or overstated
- **Satisfactory**: A reasonable presentation of the solution was provided that mentioned some benefits and challenges of the project
- **Poor**: A weak presentation of the solution was provided with little or no indication of the benefits and / or challenges of the project

Discussion

- **Excellent**: An informative discussion was provided that clearly showed how the components of the solution were developed by each team member and how these were integrated into a complete solution
- **Good**: A good discussion was provided how the different components were developed by the team and then integrated
- **Satisfactory**: Provided a reasonable discussion of how the work was divided between the different team members
- **Poor**: Not clear how or why the work was divided between the different team members

Evaluation

- **Excellent**: An informative and insightful evaluation was provided that clearly showed the extent to which the solution met or exceeded the requirements
- **Good**: A good evaluation was provided to show how the solution met or exceeded the requirements, some requirements might not be covered
- **Satisfactory**: The evaluation gave a reasonable indication of how the solution met or exceeded most of the key requirements
- **Poor**: Provided an inadequate evaluation of how the solution met or exceeded the requirements

	Quality of Presentation and Demonstration
Excellent	● A well-structured and professionally delivered presentation and demonstration ● Choice of font, colours and layout provides a professional looking set of slides. Information on all slides was clearly readable. ● The demonstration allowed each team member to effectively showcase the key functionality that had been developed and integrated into the solution ● All the team spoke clearly and confidently and kept good contact with their audience throughout the presentation and demonstration
Good	● A nicely delivered presentation and demonstration ● Choice of font, colours and layout provides a set of slides that look good. Information on most slides was east to read. ● The demonstration allowed most of the team to show that a good level of functionality that had been developed and integrated into the solution ● Most of the team spoke clearly and kept good contact with their audience throughout most of the presentation and demonstration
Satisfactory	● A reasonably delivered presentation and demonstration ● Choice of font, colours and layout provides a set of slides that look reasonable. Several slides have too much information and/or the font is hard to read. ● The demonstration allowed most of the team to show that a reasonable level of functionality had been successfully developed ● Most of the team were audible and kept reasonable contact with their audience throughout most of the presentation and demonstration
Poor	● Little/no structure to the presentation and demonstration and delivery was patchy ● Choice of font, colours and layout are inappropriate for most slides. Information on many slides is difficult to read. ● Most of the team were unable to demonstrate that a reasonable level of functionality had been successfully developed ● Difficult to hear most of the team and/or most had poor contact with their audience at several points during the presentation and demonstration

Answering Questions

- **Excellent**: The team gave comprehensive and insightful responses to the questions and discussion following your presentation
- **Good**: The team gave good responses to the questions and discussion following your presentation
- **Satisfactory**: The team gave some reasonable responses to the questions and discussion following your presentation
- **Poor**: Little/no responses to the questions and discussion following your presentation

Other Comments

Total Mark: ____/100% (worth 10% of the mark for the module)