

A photograph of three students sitting on the grass in front of a large, ornate building at Cardiff University. The building has many windows and classical architectural features. The students are smiling and appear to be in a relaxed, social setting. The text 'Ethics procedures for dissertations' is overlaid on the image.

# Ethics procedures for dissertations

**Dr Katarzyna Stawarz, COMSC Ethics Officer**  
**School Research Ethics Committee (SREC)**  
**School of Computer Science & Informatics, Cardiff University**  
[comsc-ethics@cardiff.ac.uk](mailto:comsc-ethics@cardiff.ac.uk)

Jan 2024

**This video explains:**

- When you need vs don't need ethics
- An overview of different ethics procedures available to you and what you need to do if your project requires an application

# Available options

No need for  
ethics

Simplified  
(supervisor)  
ethics

Regular ethics  
application

# What projects need an ethical opinion

You'll need to apply for Ethics if you want to collect data from human participants or use the data they have generated, e.g.

- You want to organize **usability testing** of your website, app, device, dashboard, design etc.
- You want to **gather some additional data**, e.g. through **surveys**
- You want to **install IoT sensors** in places where others live/work to collect environmental data
- You want to **scrape new data from social media** to use in your project
  - No need for ethics if you use an existing dataset

# What projects need an ethical opinion

Ethics is **not** just about a personal data – it's broadly about **consent and studying others**.

Even if you're planning to collect only **anonymous data** and/or no identifiable information, you will **still need an ethical approval**

# What projects do NOT need ethics

You won't need to apply for Ethics if:

- You're just building something and want to **evaluate it yourself**, e.g. by using a heuristics evaluation
- You want to test your website/app/dashboard/device/etc. with your **supervisor** and won't involve anyone else
- You're focusing on developing an algorithm and your evaluation means **comparing different algorithms/compression methods** etc. and no humans or human data is involved
- You're using an **existing dataset** that has already been reviewed by an ethics committee (either at Cardiff or elsewhere), e.g. one supplied by your supervisor

# **Simplified (supervisor) ethics**

# Simplified (supervisor) ethics

You can use the simplified ethics procedures (i.e. approval by a supervisor) if:

- **You're running one of the approved study types:**
  - anonymous survey (to gather requirements for the system you're developing)
  - Usability evaluation (questionnaire, interview, think aloud)
- **You're not meeting the full review criteria**, i.e.,. You're not working with vulnerable participants and your dissertation does not focus on a potentially sensitive topic



**School of Computer Science and Informatics – CM3203 One Semester Individual Project**  
**Dissertation Project Ethics Form**

1. PROJECT SUMMARY			
Project Title:		[insert]	
Student Name:		[insert]	
a) Approved methods to be used:	[Anonymous survey to gather requirements for the system <input type="checkbox"/> ]		
	[Usability evaluation <input type="checkbox"/> ]		
	If usability evaluation, please specify what it will include:		
	[Questionnaire <input type="checkbox"/> ] [Interview <input type="checkbox"/> ] [Think-aloud session <input type="checkbox"/> ]		
b) Please provide a summary of your project, including its aims and the methods you will be using:			
[insert]			
c)	Do you have any potential conflicts of interest to declare? Information and guidance on conflicts of interest is contained in the <a href="#">Research Integrity Online Training Programme</a> and the <a href="#">Research Integrity and Governance Code of Practice</a> .	Yes	No
		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
d)	If you have answered 'yes' to b, please confirm the action you propose to take to address such conflicts:		
	[insert]		
e)	What do you consider to be the ethical issues or considerations specific to your project? How do you intend to address these?		
	[insert]		
f)	Are you planning to collect data from any users from outside of your group? This includes collecting data from social media. If No, skip to Section 2.	Yes	No
		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
g)	If working with human subjects, please confirm your participant group and how you will identify and recruit such participants:		
	[insert]		
h)	How many participants are you aiming to recruit?	10 or less <input type="checkbox"/>	11-20 <input type="checkbox"/>
		21-49 <input type="checkbox"/>	50+ <input type="checkbox"/>
i)	Please describe the consent procedures/arrangements for the project – will informed consent be obtained from participants, and if so how? (If informed consent will not be obtained, then your project is <b>NOT</b> eligible for supervisor sign off.)		
	[insert]		

2. IS YOUR PROJECT ELIGIBLE FOR SUPERVISOR-ONLY REVIEW?			
Does your project involve any of the following:		Yes	No
a)	Activity within, or involving, the NHS or health and social care systems (e.g. patients, service users, staff, resources or data).	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
b)	The collection or use of <a href="#">Human Tissue</a> , as defined by the Human Tissue Act 2004	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
c)	The use or involvement of animals.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
d)	The subject areas of terrorism, extremism or radicalisation. Note: these terms are defined in the University's <a href="#">Security Sensitive Research Policy</a> .	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
e)	Primary data collection without the prior consent of participants*	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
*The following are specifically excluded from this criteria: (1) observation of people in a public			

space where no identifiable information is being captured; (2) use of publicly available information or secondary data where no identifiable information is being captured.			
f)	An element of deception, including covert activity.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
g)	Children under the age of 18 or 'at risk' (vulnerable) adults or groups.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
h)	Topic areas likely to be considered highly sensitive for participants. Note: examples include sexual behaviour, illegal activities, political, religious or spiritual beliefs, race or ethnicity, experience of violence, abuse or exploitation, and mental health.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
i)	Access to records of a sensitive or confidential nature, including 'Special Category Data' or <a href="#">criminal offence data</a> .	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
j)	Intrusive or invasive procedures Note: examples include the administration of substances, vigorous exercise, procedures which may involve pain or more than mild discomfort to participants (including the risk of psychological distress or anxiety – more than the risks encountered in everyday life).	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
k)	More than a minimum risk of harm to the safety and wellbeing of participants <b>and/or</b> the student conducting the project	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
l)	Detailed demographics or background information collected beyond age or gender. Age question asks for a specific age (as opposed to using age ranges).	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
m)	Any other activity which clearly falls outside of the parameters of the <b>Module Ethics Framework</b> or raises other material ethical concerns?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
If you have selected "Yes" to any of the above, your project is <b>NOT</b> eligible for Supervisor sign-off and must be reviewed by the SREC. <b>Please do not continue with this form.</b> You must instead complete the SREC's 'Application Form for Ethical Review'. See <a href="#">COMSC Ethics website</a> for details.			
If you have selected "No" to all of the above, your project is <b>eligible for Supervisor sign-off</b> and you must complete the rest of this form. Your project does not require a SREC application.			

3. DECLARATIONS – For use where project IS eligible for Supervisor sign-off.			
STUDENT DECLARATIONS			
a.	The information in this form is accurate to the best of my belief. I take full responsibility for it.	<input type="checkbox"/>	
b.	I have read, and will adhere to, the Module Ethics Framework.	<input type="checkbox"/>	
c.	I have completed the University's Research Integrity Training and believe we have the necessary skills and knowledge to conduct the project as proposed.	<input type="checkbox"/>	
d.	I am familiar with the <a href="#">Ethics Policy for Human Research</a> , <a href="#">Research Integrity and Governance Code of Practice</a> and <a href="#">Academic Integrity Policy</a> and will abide by all standards relevant to our project.	<input type="checkbox"/>	
e.	[If collecting user data] I have submitted all final version participant-facing documents and data collection tools to our supervisor or the module leader for approval prior to commencing data collection.	<input type="checkbox"/>	
f.	[If collecting user data] I will notify our supervisor or the module leader of any amendments to our project and seek approval of any substantial changes to participant-facing documents or data collection tools.	<input type="checkbox"/>	
g.	I will notify our supervisor or the module leader of any ethical issues that arise during the project.	<input type="checkbox"/>	
<b>Signed:</b>			
<b>Print names:</b>			
<b>Date:</b>			
SUPERVISOR DECLARATIONS			
a.	I have reviewed this form and I am satisfied that the project, as proposed, meets ethical standards.	<input type="checkbox"/>	

# How the simplified process works

## Short overview of the process:

1. Discuss your study plan with your supervisor to ensure a simplified process is suitable
2. Fill in the form and prepare the participant-facing documents (information sheet, consent form, recruitment letter; templates are provided)
3. Complete the [Research Integrity Training](#) if you haven't done it for other modules
4. Have them signed off by your supervisor

**You cannot start recruitment until you receive your supervisor's approval.**

# Regular ethics

# Regular ethics

You need to follow the regular ethics procedures if:

- You want to run an experiment or a study that uses a study design other than a survey, interview or a usability study, e.g. if you want to run a **controlled experiment** or a **home study**
- Your study does not meet the simplified ethics criteria, e.g. you want to evaluate an **IoT device**, analyse data scraped from **social media**, or ask participants to use your app **at home for a week**
- You want to recruit participants from **vulnerable groups**, e.g. children, older adults, people with disabilities
- Your research focuses on **a sensitive or polarising topic**, e.g. mental health, misinformation, political views

# How the regular process works

## Short overview of the process

1. Fill in all necessary documents
2. Have them signed off by your supervisor
3. Submit to the ethics committee via email ([comsc-ethics@cardiff.ac.uk](mailto:comsc-ethics@cardiff.ac.uk))
  - You may be asked to revise the documents or send any missing information before we can review the application
4. Wait for the response
  - This can take 2-3 weeks, depending on the time of year
  - If you're application requires "full" review, it may take 4+ weeks
5. Submit revisions if necessary

**You cannot start recruitment until you receive a favourable opinion.**

# Regular application: forms and templates

## What documents you need:

- **Individual Application Form**
- Consent form and Participant Information Sheet
- Description of your project (can use the description from PATS)
- “Data collection tools”, i.e. any questions or tasks participants will have to do, keywords for social media scraping etc.
- Recruitment messages
- Research Integrity Training certificate for you and your supervisor

### Ethical Review

Review the following documents and resources before proceeding with an application.

[School Ethical Review Procedures](#) >

[Cardiff University Research Ethics Resources](#) >

**[Individual Application form for Ethical Review](#)** >

For student projects, you should discuss every part of your application with your supervisor before submission.

You are required to use the University's templates as part of your

**[Templates for information sheets and consent forms \(see Related documents\)](#)** >

If you are a module leader or are supervising multiple students working on the same project, you can use the Group Application:

[Group Application form for Ethical Review \(for module leaders and project supervisors\)](#) >

### Training

[Research Integrity Online Training Programme \(Staff\)](#) >

[Research Integrity Online Training Programme \(Students\)](#) >

### Related Links

[Research integrity and governance](#) >

[General Safety](#) >

[BCS Code of conduct](#) >

### Full Review Protocols

The following protocols should be reviewed in line with 'Section 4: Full Review Criteria' in the application form.

[Research using online data without participants' prior consent - social media](#) >

[Research needing a gatekeeper](#) >

[Research involving visual or audio recordings](#) >

### School Research Ethics Committee (SREC)

The SREC cannot advise or assist you in completing your application. For student projects, seek guidance from your supervisor(s).

#### Ethics Officer:

Dr Katarzyna Stawarz

#### Committee Members:

Dr Richard Booth

Dr Katarzyna Stawarz

Dr Liam Turner

E-mail: [comsc-ethics@cardiff.ac.uk](mailto:comsc-ethics@cardiff.ac.uk)

The SREC meeting calendar can be found [here](#). Changes to the meeting schedule may be made at short notice. During busy periods, applications may not be reviewed at the next scheduled meeting.

# Regular application: Outcomes

Applications are reviewed by multiple reviewers and those with any conflicts of interest will be excluded. Where the committee can reach an agreement, an outcome letter/email will be issued with one of the following outcomes:

- **A favourable opinion** – the proposed study/data collection can go ahead
- **A favourable opinion with conditions** – the proposed study/data collection can go ahead after confirming specific changes requested by the SREC
- **A provisional opinion** – further information and/or revisions are required
- **An unfavourable opinion** – the proposed study/data collection cannot go ahead

# Summary

No need for  
ethics



If **no human data**  
from people outside  
of the research team  
or using an **existing  
dataset**.

Simplified  
(supervisor)  
ethics



If conducting one of the  
**approved study types**  
(anonymous survey,  
usability evaluation) and  
**does not** focus on a  
sensitive topic.

Regular ethics  
application



Everything else!  
**Social media** and **IoT  
evaluations** fall into this  
category.

Any research on **sensitive topics**  
or with **vulnerable populations**  
may require a full review.



# Thank you

## COMSC Ethics Committee

Dr Katarzyna Stawarz, COMSC SREC Ethics Officer

[comsc-ethics@cardiff.ac.uk](mailto:comsc-ethics@cardiff.ac.uk)

<https://www.cs.cf.ac.uk/ethics/>